

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 JEFF H. WILLIAMSON,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,

8 Defendants.
9

Case No. 2:16-cv-02489-APG-CWH

**ORDER ON REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION**

(ECF. No. 6)

10 On October 16, 2017, Magistrate Judge Hoffman entered a report and recommendation
11 that I dismiss this case without prejudice because plaintiff Jeff Williamson did not pay the filing
12 fee or file a renewed application to proceed in forma pauperis as directed. ECF No. 6.
13 Williamson did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the
14 report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo
15 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is
16 made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the
17 district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if*
18 *objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)). I nevertheless conducted a de novo
19 review. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Judge Hoffman sets forth the proper legal analysis and factual
20 basis for the decision.

21 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Hoffman’s report and recommendation (**ECF**
22 **No. 6**) **is accepted**. Plaintiff Jeff Williamson’s complaint is DISMISSED without prejudice. The
23 clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

24 DATED this 21st day of November, 2017.

25
26 
27 ANDREW P. GORDON
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE